

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,943	02/17/2004	Nobuyuki Tatsumi	NGB-15306	9354
40854 7590 08/02/2007 RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP			EXAMINER	
38210 Glenn Avenue WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808		RAMDHANIE, BOBBY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/779,943	TATSUMI, NOBUYUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Bobby Ramdhanie, Ph.D.	1709			
The MAILING DATE of this communicat					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTO by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>17 February 2004</u> .				
·—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice i	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 & 4</u> is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 & 2</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction are	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> i					
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/17/2004. 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application -			

Art Unit: 1709

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 & 2, drawn to an automatic sampler, classified in class 436, subclass 49.
 - II. Claims 3 & 4, drawn to a method of rinsing a needle of an autosampler, classified in class 210, subclass 656.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as an automatic sampler as the one claimed in patent JP-3142606).

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1709

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Page 3

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. During a telephone conversation with David E. Spaw on 06/26/07 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1 & 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3 & 4 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 & 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goto et al (JP3142606). Goto et al teaches an automatic sampler for injecting a sample into a sample introducing portion in communication to a column of a liquid chromatography ([0001] and Claims 1-3), comprising: A). A needle for sucking the sample from a sample liquid bath and injecting the sample into the sample introducing portion (Claim 3); B). A

Art Unit: 1709

first rinsing section for rinsing said needle by soaking said needle in a first rinsing liquid, in which the first rinsing liquid is not exchanged during the rinsing operation (Claim 1. Examiner takes the position that because the flow direction of the washing liquid is in the reverse direction, no uptake of the washing solution occurs and therefore, no solution is exchanged) and C). A second rinsing section for rinsing said needle by soaking said needle in a second rinsing liquid, in which the second rinsing liquid is exchanged during the rinsing operation, wherein said needle is rinsed by at least one rinsing section selected from said first rinsing section and said second rinsing section (Claim 2).

6. For Claim 2, Goto et al teaches the automatic sampler according to Claim 1, further comprising a switching section ([0013 &0015)] the second rinsing liquid for use with said second rinsing section from among a plurality of rinsing liquids ([0012] & Claim 2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bobby Ramdhanie, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-270-3240. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5 (Alt Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1709

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BR

WALTER D. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Welt D. Doll